Andrea Bellini

Collaborators: Jay Anderson (STScI), Roeland van der Marel (STScI), Laura Watkins (STScI)



Operated for NASA by AURA

 Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

Andrea Bellini

Part I: Why we need high-precision HST PMs

- HST vs. Ground
- Science with PMs

Part II: Astrometry with the HST

- Undersampling
- CTE / Geometric distortion
- Differential nature

Part III: Our project

- Overview
- PM measuring techniques
- The catalogs

Part IV: Preliminary results

- Internal motion
- Rotation
- Multiple-population kinematics
- Cluster dynamics
- Absolute motion
- Equipartition / (an)isotropy

(techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

•	Pa	Γi		
	/		- \	
		Ur	O)	
			·	
Pa	ort	-		

FOV: FWHM: Sampling: Stars: Crowding: Distortion: **Reference:** Detector: Baseline: Absolute PMs:

HST PMs vs. GROUND PMs

~ |′ ~ |° // 0.1′′ undersampled oversampled V > |7 V < 18 d ~ 3'' d ~ 0.3'' large, but static differential chromatic atmosphere, optics refraction, seeing, breathing (small) gravity flexure differential can be absolute better pixels more pixels a few years a few decades faint, plentiful galaxies bright, sparse galaxies

... Very different and complementary niches

 Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

Scientific applications

- 1. Cluster-field separation:
 - cluster members
 - Stellar exotica
 - luminosity & mass functions
 - targets for spectroscopic follow-ups

2. Internal motions:

- detailed kinematics and dynamics
- 3. Absolute motions
 - Galactic GC orbits
- 4. Geometric distances
 - distance scale independent from stellar-evolution models and RR Lyrae
- 5. Clusters rotation
- 6. Energy equipartition
- 7. Mass segregation
- 8. (An)isotropy
- 9. Full 3D cluster dynamics
 - when LoS velocities for the same stars are available
- 10. Constraints on IMBHs
 - "shooting stars"
 - sudden increase in the velocity-dispersion profile in the core
- 11. ...

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

Part II: Astrometry with HST

- undersampling (PSF)
- geometric distortion
- CTE defects
- differential nature

Where is the center?

Illustration of undersampling conditions

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)



ISSUE#1: Undersampling





Part I (intro)

Part II

Part III

Part IV

(results)

(techniques)

(the project)

ISSUE#1: Undersampling

Illustration of undersampling conditions

Where is the center?



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

- Part III (the project)
- Part IV (results)

ISSUE#1: Undersampling and Astrometry

Impossible?

- A point source has "no hair"
 - 3 parameters (x_*, y_*, f), ~9 pixels
- Minimal requirements: "slosh"

What is possible?

- ≤0.01 pixel possible ~ $(S/N)^{-1}$
 - Need good PSF model
 - Need good dithering

Limitations

- Individual images; no stacks
- Hard in crowded fields
 - Neighbor finding/subtraction
- Ideal in "semi-crowded" regime



Part I (intro)

 Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results) ISSUE#1: what do we mean by the PSF?

 $\psi_{INST}(\Delta x, \Delta y)$: the "Instrumental" PSF:

- The PSF as it hits the detector
- Good theoretical motivations: Gaussians, Moffat
- See ψ_{INST} only indirectly in images
- To solve for: must deconvolve the PSF from the pixels

 $\psi_{\text{EFF}}(\Delta x, \Delta y)$: the "Effective" PSF:

- The PSF after pixelization: $\psi_{\text{EFF}} = \psi_{\text{INST}} \otimes \Pi$
- Empirical: no natural basis function to describe
- We never deal with anything BUT the effective PSF
 - See ψ_{EFF} directly in images
 - \bullet Can measure ψ_{EFF} directly from images

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

- Part III (the project)
- Part IV (results)

ISSUE#1: Finding vs. Using the ePSF

- Degeneracy:
 - Finding ψ_{EFF} requires (x_{*},y_{*},f)
 - Finding (x_{*},y_{*},f) requires ψ_{EFF}
- Iteration
 - Dithers break the degeneracy!



ISSUE#1: High-level PSF issues

•Spatial variability:

- Core intensity varies up to $\pm 10\%$ over scales of ~500 pixels.

Time variability (breathing)

- Core intensity varies up to $\pm 10\%$ from one exposure to the next

3 0	×	×	*	۲		٠
36	×	×	٠	٠		
*	*	4	•	*		*
*	*	•	•	8	*	
*	*	*	•	۰		×.
*	*	*	٠	Q.	ē,	ŵ,
÷	÷	•	4	÷	•	¢
4	ş	ð	ě.	\$	•	•

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

ISSUE#2: Geometric distortion

Why? Fewer reflections, better throughput

- Linear "skew": 500 pixels over 2000
 - → Parallelogram pixels
- Non-linear: 50 pixels over 2000
- Filters introduce distortion (~0.1 pixel)
- Detector "stitching" defects
 - WFPC2: every 34.1333th row 3% shorter
 - ACS/WFC: pattern every 68.2666th column
 - WFC3/UVIS: 2-D zones

Need empirical approach: plot everything against everything else...

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)



WFC3/UVIS observed distortion



Andrea Bellini Bellini & Bedin 2009, PASP, 121, 1419

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

ISSUE#2: Geometric distortion

auto-calibration & polynomial solution



Table-of-residuals correction

ISSUE#2: Geometric distortion

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

ISSUE#3: CTE defects

Affect ALL CCD detectors (ground- and space-based) Increase with time

and the second second

Are a function of stellar brightness, chip position, and background level



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

ISSUE#3: CTE defects

Sector Sector States States

Affect ALL CCD detectors (ground- and space-based) Increase with time

Are a function of stellar brightness, chip position, and background level



Anderson & Bedin (2010)

Part I (intro)

 Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results) ISSUE#4: Transformations

All HST astrometry is differential astrometry

- Guide-star precision ~ 0.5" (improved from 1.5"!)
- No reference stars in typical fields
- We never know the true pointing

Always need to define a local reference frame

- Pixels/positions have only relative meaning
- Choosing a frame
 - * Base it on a population of objects (3+) in the frame
 - * Must know a priori something about the population
 - \rightarrow absolute $\mu = 0$ (galaxies)
 - \rightarrow average μ = same (clusters)
 - \rightarrow average μ = unchanging (field)

and the second second

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

ISSUE#3: Transformations

Sector Andreas States

Errors in the transformations:

- "Point" associations are not perfect: (X_n, Y_n ; U_n, V_n)
 - Stars' measurement error
 - Proper motions (dispersion)
 - "Fuzzy handles" for galaxies/faint stars
- Distortion not perfectly removed

Make transformations more local







Courtesy by Jay Anderson



The project

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

 Part III (the project)

Andrea Bellini

Part IV (results) 4 (PI: Chandar) 4 (PI: Brown) 3 (PI: Ford) 1 (PI: van der Marel) 1 (PI: Chanamé)

23 GCs:

10 from the archive (PI: Bellini)

Heterogeneous datasets:

- different epoch coverage
- -different cameras
- -different filters
- -different S/N

Homogeneous reduction



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

 Part III (the project)

Part IV (results) Homogeneous reduction:

1- Need of reference frames with similar properties

- 2- Single-exposure catalogs obtained with the same software and procedures
- 3- Each star position must define a stand-alone epoch

Homogeneous reduction:

1-Need of reference frames with similar properties

- 2- Single-exposure catalogs obtained with the same software and procedures
- 3- Each star position must define a stand-alone epoch

GO-10775 GC Treasury Program (PI: Sarajedini)

- 65 MW GCs cores (central 3'x3')
- Properly-dithered exposures
- Homogeneous and deep F606W and F814W photometry
- All taken in 2006



Part I (intro)

Part II

(techniques)

(the project)

• Part III

Part IV

(results)

Homogeneus reduction:

- 1- Need of a common reference frame
- 2- Single-exposure catalogs obtained with the same software and procedures
- 3- Each star position must define a stand-alone epoch



Part I (intro) Part II

 Part III (the project)

(techniques)

Part IV (results)

Homogeneus reduction:

- 1- Need of a common reference frame
- 2- Single-exposure catalogs obtained with the same software and procedures
- 3- Each star position must define a stand-alone epoch



Part IV (results)

Part III

Part I (intro)

Part II

(techniques)

(the project)

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

 Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

Mining down systematics effects

The Master frame is not perfect
Our corrections are not perfect





Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

 Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

Mining down systematics effects

The Master frame is not perfect
Our corrections are not perfect



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

 Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)



NGC 7078 (M 15) PM Catalog overview

Bellini et al. 2014, submitted to ApJ

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques) Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)

Part IV: Scientific results

Internal motions

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)





Bellini et al., in preparation

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

 Part IV (results)

Multiple-population kinematics (NGC 2808)





Bellini et al., in preparation



Absolute motion (NGC 6681)

Massari, Bellini, et al. 2013, ApJ, 779, 81M

Part I

Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

Part IV (results)



Watkins L. L., Bellini A., et al., in preparation



Watkins L. L., Bellini A., et al., in preparation

Equipartition (?)



Part I (intro)

Part II (techniques)

Part III (the project)

 Part IV (results)

Conclusions

High-precision astrometry with HST is challenging but DOABLE

- undersampling (PSF)
- geometric distortion
- differential nature (local transformations)

Scientific projects with HST's proper motions of GCs

- Internal kinematics
- dispersion profiles
- anisotropy
- rotation
- IMBHs
- ...

Our project

- high-precision (~1 km/s) proper motions in the cores of 22+ GCs
- preliminary results encouraging and exciting
- proper-motion catalogs will be made available to the astronomical community
- Future extension to 60+ GCs thanks to new observations